UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,793	03/03/2004	Helena Corneliusson	018798-214	4388
21839 7590 01/23/2008 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			EXAMINER	
			REICHLE, KARIN M	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/23/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com debra.hawkins@bipc.com

		$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/790,793	CORNELIUSSON, HELENA
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karin M. Reichle	3761
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires months from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu g date of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) ust be filed within one of the following in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a) :	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		-
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3761

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the independent claims would require further consideration and/or search, especially in view of the relative terminology "near" now proposed. Additionally the proposed amendment raises new issues under 35 USC 112, 2nd, e.g. how many elastic elements at a minimum are required in claim 1, i.e. is the element on line 8 the same as that on the last two lines or not? Therefore the proposed amendments are not deemed to reduce and simplify the issues for appeal.

Continuation of 13. Other: The proposed drawing filed 1-7-08 is not approved because the quality of lines and text is poor.